Stemmler

Gleason Gring Hamilton, J. H. Hamilton, R. K. Harrier Hayes, D. S. Hayes, S. E. Hepford Hetrick Hill Horn Horner Hutchinson Johnson, J. J.

Saloom Kolter Scheaffer Knepper Seltzer Kury Semanofi Lehr Shuman Lutty Shupnik Malady Smith Manbeck McMonagle Taylor Thomas Moore Walsh Wilt, W. W. Novak Parker, B. L. Yahner Parker, H. S. Zeller Piper Prendergast Zimmerman Zord. Reynolds

# NOT VOTING-8

Ruggiero Rybak

Kahle

Schmitt

Stone Wells

the question was determined in the affirmative and mendments were agreed to.

on the question,

the House agree to the bill as amended on third sideration?

If was agreed to.

ordered, That the bill as amended be prepared for final

# BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

Arrecable to order,
The House proceeded to third consideration of House No. 939, printer's No. 1198, entitled:

An Act amending "The Insurance Company Law of 17, 1921 (P. L. 682), specifying additional matters to be determined by the Insurance Comdistoner prior to his making an approving determination connection with certain acquisitions of or offers to acoutstanding capital stock of any corporations which creatly own outstanding capital stock of any Pennrecially own outstanding capital stock of any Feningeria insurance company, providing for the further plation of insurance holding companies which beneficially own or control or are affiliated with insurance apprines qualified and licensed to transact the business insurance in Pennsylvania, prohibiting certain activity and the properties. and providing penalties.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? A was agreed to.

said bill having been considered on three different and agreed to,

On the question,

**Shall the bill pass finally?** 

Afrecable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas nays were taken and were as follows:

## **YEAS-196**

Saloom Lehr Gallagher Savitt Letterman Gallen Scanlon Geesey Lutty Lynch, Francis Scheaffer Geisler Lynch, Frank Schmitt Gekas Schulze Gelfand Malady Scirica Gillette Manbeck Seltzer Gleason Manderino Semanoff Gleeson Martino Mastrangelo Shane Good Shelhamer Goodman McClatchy Shelton Greenfield McCue Gring McCurdy Sherman Shuman Halverson McGraw McMonagle Shupnik Hamilton, J. H. Smith Hamilton, K. K. Mebus Harrier Meholchick Spencer

Brunner Burkardt Butera Caputo Cessar Comer Coppolin**o** Coyne Crawford Crowley Dager Davis, D. M. Davis, E. B. Davis, R. O. DeMedio Dininni Dombrowski Dorsey Doyle Dreibelbis ary Eckensberger Englehart Fawcett Fee I'enri**ch** Fischer

Horn Horner Homer Hopkins Hovis Hutchinson Irvis Johnson, G. R. Johnson, J. J. Kahle Katz Kaufman Kelly, A. P. Kelly, J. B. Kennedy Kester Kistler Klepper Klunk Knepper Kolter Kowalyshyn Kury LaMarca Laudadio Lederer Lee

Haskell

Hepford

Hetrick

Hill

Hayes, D. S.

Hayes, S. E.

Melton Stout Sullivan Miller Moore Taylor Morris Thomas Mullen, M. M. Toll Mullen, M. P. Ustynoski Murtha Valicenti Myers Needham Vann Walsh Novak Wansacz O'Brien Wargo O'Connell Weidner O'Donnell Wells O'Pake Westerberg Pancoast Williams Parker, B. L. Parker, H. S. Wilson Wilt, R. W. Perry Wilt, W. W. Pezak Wise Pievsky Wojdak Piper Prendergast Worrilow Wright Rappaport Yahner Renninger Yohn Renwick Zearfoss Reynolds Zeller Rieger Zimmerman Ritter Zord Rowe Ruane Fineman, Rush Ryan

Speaker

### NAYS-0

#### NOT VOTING-5

Moscrip

Foor

Fox

Foster

Frank

Fryer

Frankenburg

Rybak

Steele

Stone

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

Agreeable to order,

The House proceeded to third consideration of Senate bill No. 438, printer's No. 445, entitled:

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to article one, section ten of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, authorizing courts of common pleas to provide for the initiation of criminal charges by informa-

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? It was agreed to.

And said bill having been considered on three different days and agreed to,

On the question, Shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the constitution, the yeas and nays were taken and were as follows:

# YEAS-180

Fryer Alexander Allen, F. M. Gallagher Geisler Allen, W. W. Gekas Anderson, S. A. Gelfand Arthurs Gillette Barber Bellomini Gleeson Bennett Goodman Beren Greenfield Berkes Gring Bittle Hamilton, J. H. Bixler Hamilton, R. K. Blair Harrier Bonetto Haskell Braig

Lynch, Francis Lynch, Frank Malady Manbeck Manderino Martino Mastrangelo McClatchy McCue McCurdy McGraw McMonagle Mebus Meholchick

Melton

Scanlon Scheaffer Schulze Scirica Seltzer Semanoff Shane Shelhamer Shelton Sherman Shuman Shupnik Spencer

Savitt

Brunner	Hayes, D. S.	Miller	Stemmler
Burkar <b>dt</b>	<b>H</b> epford	Moore	Stout
Butera	Hetri <b>ck</b>	Mullen, M. M.	Sullivan
Caputo	Hill	Mullen, M. P.	Taylor
Cessar	Homer	Murtha	Thomas
Comer	Hopkins	Myers	Toll
Coppolin <b>o</b>	Horn	Needham	Ustynoski
Coyne	Horne <b>r</b>	Novak	Valicenti
Crawford	Hovis	O'Brien	Vann
Crowley	Hutchinson	O'Connell	Walsh
Dager	Irvis	O'Donnell	Wansacz
Davis, D. M.	Johnson, G. R.	O'Pake	Wargo
Davis, E. B.	Johnson, J. J.	Pancoast	Weidner
Davis, R. O.	Kahle	Parker, B. L.	Wells
DeMedi <b>o</b>	Katz	Parker, H. S.	Westerberg
Dininni	Kaufman	Perry	Wilson
<b>Dombrowski</b>	Kelly, A. P.	Pezak	Wilt, R. W.
Dorsey	Kelly, J. B.	Pievsky	Wilt, W. W.
Doyle	Kennedy	Piper	Wise
Dreib <b>elbis</b>	Kester	Prendergast	Wojdak
Early	Kistler	Renninger	Worrilow
Eckensberger	Klepper	Renwick	Wright
Englehart	Klunk	Reynolds	Yahner
Fawcett	Knepper	Rieger	Yohn
Fee	Kolter	Ritter	Zearfoss
Fenri <b>ch</b>	Kowalyshyn	Rowe	Zeller
Fischer	Kury	Ruane	Zimmerman
Foster	LaMarca	Rush	Ziminet man
Fox	Laudadio	Ryan	Fineman,
Frank	Letterman	Saloom	Speake
Frankenburg	Lutty		Speake

#### NAYS—13

Anderson, J. H. Berson Foor Gallen	Geesey Good Halverson	Hayes, S. E. Lehr Morris	Rappaport Williams Zord
Ganen			

#### NOT VOTING-8

Lederer	Moscrip	Rybak	Steele
Lee	Ruggiero	Schmitt	Stone

The majority required by the constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return to same to the Senate with information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

# REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lebanon, Mr. Rowe.

Mr. ROWE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to submit remarks on the Senate bill just passed.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will send his remarks to the desk,

 $\mbox{Mr.}$  ROWE submitted the following statement for the Legislative Journal:

Mr. Speaker, I rise to request unanimous support for Senate bill No. 438, printer's No. 445.

This joint resolution has the effect of abolishing indictment functions of the grand juries.

The basic reasons I request support for this important measure are:

First, the savings to the counties would be extremely extensive. Now counties must pay the grand jurors, the witnesses and provide grand jury rooms, etc.

Secondly, and procedurally, the indicting grand jury is an anachronism in our law. Any district attorney in this Commonwealth can ram through grand juries a countless number of cases per day.

I introduced in the House a similar bill as the one before us today, House bill No. 605. This bill was suggested by a district attorney, and I have received mail ber. It was from district attorneys and judges, including the District of the S Attorney of Philadelphia, and all agree that the cost,

the inefficiency and loss of man-hours do not justi what once was a protection of the rights of an ind vidual but what has deteriorated in actual practice to pro forma procedural step.

I can give you statistics to support the cost argume as well as the procedural argument but suffice to sa Mr. Speaker, passage of this measure would save counting across this Commonwealth, millions of dollars per yearnd at the same time would eliminate an anachronism our criminal law.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

## BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bill on today's calendar will be passed over. The Challears no objection.

### INTERROGATION

Mr. MILLER requested and obtained unanimous consent to interrogate Mr. FENRICH.

Mr. MILLER. Mr. Speaker, as you may or may no know, I am the chairman of the Republican Labor Re lations Committee. When I accepted the job I made th statement that, in view of the nature of this legislature it is somewhat similar to being in charge of survivor at Little Big Horn. I thought that was kind of a good analogy.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Fenrich, recall sending out letter, dated June 2, relative to the meeting with Mr Smith, Secretary of Labor and Industry?

Mr. FENRICH. Are you referring to the letter that went out for a meeting that should have been held yes terday?

Mr. MILLER. Yes.

Mr. FENRICH. Well, unfortunately, the bills which were coming up in the House, in addition to the black out, caused us to withdraw that. We are going to have a meeting called for next Tuesday at the same hour at the same place. It will be a joint meeting with the members of the Labor and Industry Committee of the Senate, and Mr. Smith will appear at that time.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you. Then I am not to assume that the letter which the Secretary sent out, the letter I received today relative to House bill No. 980, will replace the purpose of the meeting?

Mr. FENRICH. No, not at all. We asked the Secretary to send out that letter for the reason that it would give you a briefing on House bill No. 980 because it is very complex, and this will help you to understand some of the things he will be elucidating next Tuesday.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you. In reading the letter closely, I discovered that while there have, at various times, been reports, cloakroom reports, I suppose we could call them, that there are going to be public hearings on this bill, I have not had any official notice either from you or anybody else that there will be. Could you tell us what your plans are going to be?

Mr. FENRICH. Yes, I can tell you that, too. The release went out to the general news media today over the wire service. There will be hearings on the bill. They will be held the 26th and 27th of this month in the majority caucus chamber, the House majority caucus chamber. It will also be a joint hearing with Senator Arlene of the Senate Labor and Industry Committee in attendance.

indi-

ine g

unties year sm in

billa Chair

v not Ree the

ivors

good

out a Mr. that

yes-

thich lackhave ir at the

the

ume etter ( re-Secould

it is tand

iday.
etter
ious
we
ings
ther
ould

the they maamlene Mr. MILLER. Might I make further inquiry and rould I be proper in asking who will be invited to testify these meetings?

Mr. FENRICH. In the release we asked any citizens of Mr. FENRICH. In the release we asked any citizens of pennsylvania, those from labor, those from industry, if they are interested in testifying, to write us immediately and give us a time they would like to attend so we can set up a schedule.

Mr. MILLER. And I am to assume that they will then be given equal billing to state their case in this very complex matter?

Mr. FENRICH. I beg your pardon.

Mr. MILLER. I am then to assume that the various egments of the job-creating economy will be given imple time and place to state their position on this bill?

Mr. FENRICH. Yes, indeed. If we do not finish the hearings on those two days—and I believe I was wrong on the dates, it is Wednesday and Thursday, the 23rd and 24th—we will hold further hearings.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. FENRICH. You are quite welcome.

# HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. I am sorry, Mr. Speaker, I know you asked The motion was ag

the members leave I think it might be wise for me to announce that we are going to go in session at 9 o'clock tomorrow morning. We hope to have you out of here by 11 o'clock, but I would ask you to report here at 9 o'clock tomorrow.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

# HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

By Messrs. BEREN, McCLATCHY, SCHULZE, MEBUS, Mrs. FAWCETT, Messrs. YOHN, ZELLER, BRAIG, GLEESON, GEESEY and KENNEDY

RESOLUTION No. 66

Speaker of the House of Representatives to appoint a committee of seven members, four from the majority and three from the minority party to investigate the expenditures of the Philadelphia School District to determine if their funds are being spent in the most economical manner.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

## ADJOURNMENT

Mr. SCHEAFFER moved that this House do now adjourn until Thursday, June 10, 1971, at 9 a.m., e.d.t.

The motion was agreed to, and (at 5:47 p.m., e.d.t.) the House adjourned.