

the amendments because they just do not do what we propose to do in Senate Bill No. 889, Senate Bill No. 890 and Senate Bill No. 891.

Senator NOLAN. Mr. President, I think I pointed out that we would be putting this money into the Lottery Fund for senior citizens' tax relief with the hope that the committee in charge of the Messenger-Stauffer bill would then bring that bill forward for a vote of the Senate. As I have pointed out, the moneys would then be available and there would be no reason to keep that bill in committee as is being done at the present time.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—1

Nolan,

NAYS—48

Andrews,	Hager,	Lynch,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hankins,	Manbeck,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hess,	McKinney,	Schaefer,
Coppersmith,	Hill,	Mellow,	Smith,
Corman,	Holl,	Messenger,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Hopper,	Moore,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Howard,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Noszka,	Stout,
Early,	Kelley,	O'Pake,	Sweeney,
Fleming,	Kury,	Orlando,	Tilghman,
Gekas,	Kusse,	Reibman,	Wood,
Gurzenda,	Lewis,	Romanelli,	Zemprelli,

So the question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were defeated.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?
Senator SMITH, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302), page 4, line 7, by striking out "TWO AND THREE-TENTHS" and inserting: two and two-tenths

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 302), page 4, line 12, by striking out "TWO AND THREE-TENTHS" and inserting: two and two-tenths

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 402), page 5, line 14, by striking out "ELEVEN" and inserting: ten and three-fourths

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 402), page 6, line 3, by striking out "ELEVEN" and inserting: ten and three-fourths

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 402), page 6, line 10, by striking out "ELEVEN" and inserting: ten and three-fourths

Amend Sec. 5 (Sec. 502), page 7, line 11, by striking out "ELEVEN" and inserting: ten and three-fourths

Amend Sec. 5 (Sec. 502), page 7, line 30, by striking out "ELEVEN" and inserting: ten and three-fourths

Amend Sec. 5 (Sec. 502), page 8, line 10, by striking out "ELEVEN" and inserting: ten and three-fourths

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

Senator HAGER. Mr. President, I desire to interrogate the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Smith.

The PRESIDENT. Will the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Smith, permit himself to be interrogated?

Senator SMITH. I will, Mr. President.

Senator HAGER. Mr. President, what is the effect of these amendments?

Senator SMITH. Mr. President, we are reducing the personal income tax of 2.3 per cent now in House Bill No. 247, to 2.2 per cent, effective January 1, 1978. The corporate net income tax, which is now at eleven per cent, is reduced to 10.75 per cent, effective January 1, 1977.

Senator HAGER. Mr. President, I would like to make just a few comments. The gentleman states he is reducing it as it is in the bill. In fact, even with his so-called reductions he would be raising the personal income tax two-tenths of one per cent over its present rate and he would also be raising the corporate net income tax one and one-quarter per cent over its present rate. Is that correct?

Senator SMITH. Mr. President, that depends on which side of the aisle you are on. Substantively, that is correct.

Senator HAGER. Mr. President, I would also say for purposes of amendments and without anybody on the other side of the aisle, including the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Smith, thinking that this a commitment to vote for the bill, but because it is a movement in the right direction from yesterday, I expect to support these amendments.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?
They were agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator SMITH.

THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 84 (Pr. No. 94) — Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Senator KURY. Mr. President, I believe that this bill has the same defects it had when it was before us just a few weeks ago. I shall vote "no" on this bill for the same reasons I indicated at that time.

And the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

(During the calling of the roll, the following occurred:)

Senator LEWIS. Mr. President, I would like to change my vote from "aye" to "no."

The PRESIDENT. The gentleman will be so recorded.

Senator ARLENE. Mr. President, I would like to change my vote from "aye" to "no."

The PRESIDENT. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—38

Andrews,	Hess,	Moore,	Smith,
Arlene,	Holl,	Nolan,	Snyder,
Bell,	Hopper,	O'Pake,	Stapleton,
Coppersmith,	Howard,	Orlando,	Stauffer,
Corman,	Jubelirer,	Reibman,	Stout,
Dougherty,	Kelley,	Romanelli,	Sweeney,
Duffield,	Kusse,	Ross,	Tilghman,
Dwyer,	Lynch,	Scanlon,	Wood,
Early,	Manbeck,	Schaefer,	Zemprelli,
Fleming,	Mellow,		
Gekas,			
Gurzenda,			
Hager,			

NAYS—11

Arlene,	Hankins,	Lewis,	Murray,
Coppersmith,	Hill,	McKinney,	Noszka,
Duffield,	Kury,	Messinger,	

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore (Martin L. Murray) in the chair.

HB 568 (Pr. No. 898) — Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—49

Andrews,	Hankins,	Manbeck,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hess,	McKinney,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hill,	Mellow,	Schaefer,
Coppersmith,	Holl,	Messinger,	Smith,
Corman,	Hopper,	Moore,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Howard,	Murray,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Jubelirer,	Nolan,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Kelley,	Noszka,	Stout,
Early,	Kury,	O'Pake,	Sweeney,
Fleming,	Kusse,	Orlando,	Tilghman,
Gekas,	Lewis,	Reibman,	Wood,
Gurzenda,	Lynch,	Romanelli,	Zemprelli,
Hager,			

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

HB 569 (Pr. No. 899) — Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—49

Andrews,	Hankins,	Manbeck,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hess,	McKinney,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hill,	Mellow,	Schaefer,
Coppersmith,	Holl,	Messinger,	Smith,
Corman,	Hopper,	Moore,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Howard,	Murray,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Jubelirer,	Nolan,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Kelley,	Noszka,	Stout,
Early,	Kury,	O'Pake,	Sweeney,
Fleming,	Kusse,	Orlando,	Tilghman,
Gekas,	Lewis,	Reibman,	Wood,
Gurzenda,	Lynch,	Romanelli,	Zemprelli,
Hager,			

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

HB 594 (Pr. No. 2169) — Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

(During the calling of the roll, the following occurred:)

Senator HOLL. Mr. President, I would like to change my vote from "aye" to "no."

The PRESIDENT. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—29

Arlene,	Hankins,	Lynch,	Romanelli,
Coppersmith,	Hill,	McKinney,	Ross,
Corman,	Hopper,	Messinger,	Scanlon,
Dougherty,	Jubelirer,	Nolan,	Schaefer,
Duffield,	Kelley,	Noszka,	Smith,
Early,	Kury,	O'Pake,	Sweeney,
Gekas,	Lewis,	Orlando,	Zemprelli,
Gurzenda,			

NAYS—20

Andrews,	Hess,	Mellow,	Stapleton,
Bell,	Holl,	Moore,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Howard,	Murray,	Stout,
Fleming,	Kusse,	Reibman,	Tilghman,
Hager,	Manbeck,	Snyder,	Wood,

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which concurrence of the House is requested.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

HB 748 — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.